

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

This type of tax increase will simply make low usage users of low-cost "emergency" phones decide that it is too expensive to have this "luxury" in our cars. Other than a very low use "emergency" phone in my car, I do not have a cell phone, nor do I intend to have one otherwise. If this is implemented, I will soon not keep such an "emergency" phone in my car. As a business matter, you will crush the low-use prepaid cell phone market with a tax that takes such a format.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Thomas Bzik
5613 Tavern Circle
Macungie, Pennsylvania 18062